Case 3:04-cv-02297-SC Document 146 Filed 09/06/05 Page 1 of 3

| 1 2 3 | DOUGLAS J. CLARK, State Bar No. 171499 CYNTHIA A. DY, State Bar No. 172761 CAMERON P. HOFFMAN, State Bar No. 229316 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation | |
|---|---|---|
| 4 | 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100 | |
| 6 | JENNY L. DIXON, State Bar No. 192638 | |
| 7 | CLAUDIA MAIN, State Bar No. 212200 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation One Market Street, Spear Tower, Suite 3300 San Francisco, CA 94105 | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | Telephone: (415) 947-2000 Facsimile: (415) 947-2099 | |
| 10 11 | Attorneys for Defendants OMNIVISION TECHNOLOGIES, INC., SHAW HONG, RAYMOND WU, H. GENE McCOWN and JOHN T. ROSSI | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 14 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 15 | In re OMNIVISION TECHNOLOGIES, INC. | MASTER FILE NO.: C-04-2297 SC |
| 16 | |)) STIPULATION TO EXTEND |
| 17 | | DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND TO SECOND CONSOLIDATED |
| 18 | This Document Relates To: | AMENDED COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED] ORDER |
| 19 | CASE NOS. 04-2297-SC; 04-2298-SC; 04-2385-SC; 04-2410-SC; 04-2419-SC; 04-2425-SC; 04-2433-SC; 04-2474-SC; 04-2514-SC; 04-2525-SC; and 04-2570-SC |)) |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | |)) |
| 22 | | |
| 222324 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 2324 | | |
| 232425 | | |
| 23242526 | | |

Case 3:04-cv-02297-SC Document 146 Filed 09/06/05 Page 2 of 3

| WHEREAS, on July 29, 2005, the Court iss | WHEREAS, on July 29, 2005, the Court issued its Order denying the Motion to Dismiss | |
|---|---|--|
| the Second Amended Consolidated Complaint (the "SAC") filed by Defendants OmniVision | | |
| Technologies, Inc., Shaw Hong, Raymond Wu, H. Gene McCown and John T. Rossi | | |
| (collectively, "Defendants"); | | |
| WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court's Order dated August 3, 2005, Defendants' response | | |
| to the SAC is due on September 2, 2005; | | |
| WHEREAS, Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs has agreed to extend the time within which | | |
| Defendants' response to the SAC shall be due; | | |
| THEREFORE, the parties to this action, by and through their attorneys, hereby | | |
| STIPULATE AND AGREE, subject to approval of the Court, that Defendants shall have until | | |
| and including September 7, 2005 to respond to the SAC. | | |
| | VILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI rofessional Corporation | |
| | toressional Corporation | |
| . I | y: <u>/s/ Claudia Main</u> | |
| | CLAUDIA MAIN | |
| | ttorneys for Defendants OMNIVISION ECHNOLOGIES, INC., SHAW HONG, | |
| R | AYMOND WU, H. GENE McCOWN and DHN T. ROSSI | |
| | SHV I. ROBBI | |
| | IILBERG WEISS BERSHAD & CHULMAN LLP | |
| | CHOLIVIA I LLI | |
| B | y: <u>/s/ Elizabeth P. Lin</u> ELIZABETH P. LIN | |
| | 55 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 4170 | |
| L | os Angeles, CA 90071 elephone: (213) 617-1200 | |
| | acsimile: (213) 617-1975 | |
| L | ead Counsel for Plaintiffs | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | the Second Amended Consolidated Complaint (the Technologies, Inc., Shaw Hong, Raymond Wu, H. (collectively, "Defendants"); WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court's Order of to the SAC is due on September 2, 2005; WHEREAS, Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs has Defendants' response to the SAC shall be due; THEREFORE, the parties to this action, by STIPULATE AND AGREE, subject to approval of and including September 7, 2005 to respond to the Dated: September 2, 2005 B ATT R JC Dated: September 2, 2005 M B 33 L Tr Fig. | |

Case 3:04-cv-02297-SC Document 146 Filed 09/06/05 Page 3 of 3

I, Claudia Main, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file this STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND TO SECOND CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED] ORDER. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that Elizabeth P. Lin has concurred in this filing.

By: <u>/s/ Claudia Main</u> CLAUDIA MAIN

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the Court hereby orders: Defendants OmniVision Technologies, Inc., Shaw Hong, Raymond Wu, H. Gene McCown and John T. Rossi shall have until and including September 7, 2005 to respond to the Second Consolidated Amended Complaint.

Dated: September 9, 2005

